



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/771,584 | 02/04/2004 | Marianne L. Kodimer | 66329/00141 | 3800 |

23380 7590 04/16/2008
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING
925 EUCLID AVENUE
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

AVERY, JEREMIAH L

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2131

| | |
|-------------------|---------------|
| NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-------------------|---------------|

04/16/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com
mary.erne@tuckerellis.com

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/771,584 | Applicant(s) KODIMER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner JEREMIAH AVERY | Art Unit 2131 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 March 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-10 and 12-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-10 and 12-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 4, 11 and 15-28 have been cancelled.
2. Claims 1-3, 5-10 and 12-14 have been examined.
3. Responses to Applicant's remarks have been given.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/6/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3, 5-10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 7,127,524 to Renda et al., hereinafter Renda and further in view of United States Patent No. 6,516,416 to Gregg et al., hereinafter Gregg.

2. Renda significantly discloses the claimed invention, as cited below. However, Renda does not significantly disclose the limitations pertaining to “an electronic document” as found within claims 1 and 8. Gregg, however, discloses these limitations, as cited below.

3. Regarding claims 1 and 8, Renda and Gregg disclose a system and a method for role-based control of a document processing device comprising:
means for receiving an electronic document into a document processing device via an associated network, the document processing device including means for outputting a tangible rendering of electronic documents (Gregg – column 21, lines 11-37, “The initial block has the user input URL for copyrighted HTML using a web browser (block 430) and the web server sends the copyrighted HTML document (block 432)”, “the user chooses one of the print, save or cut/copy/paste options” and “the program determines whether the copyright level allows print (block 452) which if it does, permits printing (block 454)");
means for generating a scan file corresponding to a scan of a tangible document by the document processing device (Renda – column 17, lines 33-36, “local services may include any device that is not centrally located, including fax servers, scanners, disk drives...”);

Art Unit: 2131

means for receiving, via the associated network, document processing instruction data corresponding to at least one user-selected document processing operation corresponding to at least one of the received electronic document and a received tangible document (*Gregg* – column 21, lines 11-37, “the web browser window where HTML contents are displayed (block 438) and the user chooses one of the print, save or cut/copy/paste options (block 440)”);

means for acquiring, via the associated network, user data representative of an identity of a user of a document processing device, which user data is associated with the received electronic document (*Renda* – Figures 3D, 3E and 7, column 4, lines 44-52, column 9, lines 45-55, column 23, lines 31-36, “user identifier and password” and lines 66 and 67, column 24, lines 1-12, column 41, lines 29-59 and column 42, lines 35-42 and *Gregg* – column 21, lines 11-37, “The initial block has the user input URL for copyrighted HTML using a web browser (block 430) and the web server sends the copyrighted HTML document (block 432)”);

means for prompting the user for login data via an interface associated with the document processing device (*Renda* – Figures 3C, 3D, 3E, 8A and 8B, column 8, lines 5-14, column 13, lines 46-58, column 21, lines 66 and 67, column 22, lines 1-7 and 58-67, column 23, lines 4-9, 31-36, 66 and 67, column 24, lines 1-12 and 58-64, column 25, lines 43-67, column 26, lines 1, 2 and 61-67, column 27, lines 1-3 and 52-65, column 29, lines 4-17 and column 41, lines 29-53);

means for receiving login data from the user via the interface (*Renda* – Figures 3C, 3D, 3E, 8A and 8B, column 8, lines 5-14, column 13, lines 46-58, column 21, lines 66 and

Art Unit: 2131

67, column 22, lines 1-7 and 58-67, column 23, lines 4-9, 31-36, 66 and 67, column 24, lines 1-12 and 58-64, “authentication server may use as part of the authentication process, for example, by only allowing a user to log in via a device with that MAC address”, column 25, lines 43-67, column 26, lines 1, 2 and 61-67, column 27, lines 1-3 and 52-65, column 29, lines 4-17 and column 41, lines 29-53);

means for receiving device access data representative of device access privileges associated with each of a plurality of users (*Renda* – column 7, lines 63-67, column 8, lines 1-18, “master access controller 222 may store the privileges for all users and provide them to such access controller once authentication server 224 authenticates the user” and lines 48-64, column 9, lines 34-55, column 17, lines 33-53, “When communications to the generic IP address are received by privileges manager 273, it will redirect the communications to the appropriate destination such as the nearest printer that can handle the local service requested”, column 18 and lines 11-23, column 22, lines 1-28, column 23, lines 66 and 67, column 24, lines 1-12 and 58-64, “authentication server may use as part of the authentication process, for example, by only allowing a user to log in via a device with that MAC address”, column 25, lines 3-16, column 27, lines 52-67, column 28, lines 1-20 and 41-60, “privileges record”, column 29, lines 4-17, column 31, lines 52-67);

comparison means for comparing user data and login data with the device access data (column 23, lines 31-36 and 46-51 and column 41, lines 54-66);

means for associating login data with at least one preselected user role in accordance with an output of the comparison means (*Renda* – Figures 3C, 3D, 3E, 8A and 8B,

Art Unit: 2131

column 8, lines 5-14, column 13, lines 46-58, column 21, lines 66 and 67, column 22, lines 1-7 and 58-67, column 23, lines 4-9, 31-36, 66 and 67, column 24, lines 1-12 and 58-64, “authentication server may use as part of the authentication process, for example, by only allowing a user to log in via a device with that MAC address”, column 25, lines 43-67, column 26, lines 1, 2 and 61-67, column 27, lines 1-3 and 52-65, column 29, lines 4-17 and column 41, lines 29-53);

means for retrieving a permission matrix template specifying allowable usage options of the data processing device associated with each of the plurality of user roles (*Renda* – column 7, lines 63-67, column 8, lines 1-18, “master access controller 222 may store the privileges for all users and provide them to such access controller once authentication server 224 authenticates the user” and lines 48-64, column 9, lines 34-55, column 17, lines 33-53, “When communications to the generic IP address are received by privileges manager 273, it will redirect the communications to the appropriate destination such as the nearest printer that can handle the local service requested”);

means for generating permission matrix data in accordance with the at least one preselected user role and retrieved permission matrix template, the permission matrix data including data representative of allowable usage options of the document processing device from a plurality thereof by a user associated with the user data (*Renda* – column 3, lines 60-67, column 4, lines 1-9, column 7, lines 63-67, column 8, lines 1-18, “master access controller 222 may store the privileges for all users and provide them to such access controller once authentication server 224 authenticates the

Art Unit: 2131

user” and lines 48-64, column 9, lines 34-55, column 17, lines 33-53, “When communications to the generic IP address are received by privileges manager 273, it will redirect the communications to the appropriate destination such as the nearest printer that can handle the local service requested”, column 18 and lines 11-23); means for communicating the permission matrix data to the document processing device to allow for control thereof (column 3, lines 60-67, column 4, lines 1-9 and 40-52, column 17, lines 33-53, “Although printers are described herein, local services may include any device that is not centrally located, including fax servers, scanners, disk drives and web pages such as those of an intranet” and “When communications to the generic IP address are received by privileges manager 273, it will redirect the communications to the appropriate destination such as the nearest printer that can handle the local service requested” and column 43, lines 35-40); means for storing the permission matrix on a data storage associated with a controller of the document processing device (*Renda* – Figures 2B and 3A (elements 314 and 316 – “privs local?” and if “yes” then “determine action from privileges”), column 10, lines 37-53, “identifies whether a privileges record for a device having the MAC address is stored locally in access controller 220” and “If the privileges are located in local privileges storage 275, privileges manager 273 will retrieve the privileges and identify the action associated with the target in the frame” and column 18, lines 3-23); means for limiting operation of the document processing device to a subset of available document processing operations in accordance with the stored permission matrix (*Renda* – Figures 2B and 3A (elements 314 and 316 – “privs local?” and if “yes” then

“determine action from privileges”), column 10, lines 37-53, “identifies whether a privileges record for a device having the MAC address is stored locally in access controller 220” and “If the privileges are located in local privileges storage 275, privileges manager 273 will retrieve the privileges and identify the action associated with the target in the frame” and column 18, lines 3-23).

4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Gregg within the system and method of Renda in order to present "an access system that provides secure access through either a one factor (conventional user name and password) or two factor authentication (using an optional hardware access key with a unique digital ID), thus enabling a superior and effective subscriber authentication which only allows registered subscribers to access protected contents and subscriber authorization which determines the subscriber's access level within a protected site" (*Gregg* – column 1, lines 58-67).

5. Regarding claims 2 and 9, Renda discloses wherein the permission matrix data includes selected permissions associated with at least one of printing, copying, faxing and scanning (column 4, lines 40-52, column 17, lines 33-53, “Although printers are described herein, local services may include any device that is not centrally located, including fax servers, scanners, disk drives and web pages such as those of an intranet” and “When communications to the generic IP address are received by privileges manager 273, it will redirect the communications to the appropriate destination such as

Art Unit: 2131

the nearest printer that can handle the local service requested” and column 43, lines 35-40).

6. Regarding claims 3 and 10, Renda discloses wherein the permission matrix data includes data allowing access to all available functions when the user data is representative of an administrative mode (column 4, lines 44-52, “preconfigured by a system administrator”, column 7, lines 63-67, column 8, lines 1, 2 and 48-58, column 9, lines 1-55, “a system administrator can define the privileges of a class of users and assign a user to the class”, column 16, lines 57-67, column 17, lines 1 and 2, “A local device is a device or other destination that is defined by a system administrator via master controller 222 and transmitted to local services manager 297 as being nearby, or mostly nearby among the other devices on the network in the same class (e.g., printer, scanner, fax, server, disk drive, etc.), to the network access point 230-234 being served by the access controller 220.”, column 21, lines 24-27 and column 28, lines 21-31).

7. Regarding claims 5 and 12, Renda discloses wherein the user data representative of the identity of a user comprise at least one of user name and user password (Figures 3D and 3E, column 4, lines 44-52, column 9, lines 45-55, column 23, lines 31-36, “user identifier and password” and column 41, lines 29-59, “if the user indicates that he or she is a guest, the username and password are both treated as if the user had typed, ‘guest’”).

8. Regarding claims 6 and 13, Renda discloses means for transmitting acquired user data to an authentication server (column 7, lines 63-67, column 8, lines 1-14 and

Art Unit: 2131

48-58, column 9, lines 45-55, column 24, lines 50-57, column 27, lines 52-65 and column 29, lines 4-17);

means for transmitting device access data to the authentication server (column 10, lines 37-43 and column 24, lines 58-67);

wherein the authentication server compares the user data with the device access data to generate the permission data matrix (column 3, lines 60-67, column 4, lines 1-9, column 7, lines 63-67, column 8, lines 1-18, “master access controller 222 may store the privileges for all users and provide them to such access controller once authentication server 224 authenticates the user” and lines 48-64, column 9, lines 34-55, column 17, lines 33-53, “When communications to the generic IP address are received by privileges manager 273, it will redirect the communications to the appropriate destination such as the nearest printer that can handle the local service requested”, column 18 and lines 11-23).

9. Regarding claims 7 and 14, Renda discloses wherein the user data and the device access data are stored in an associated database (column 3, lines 60-67, column 4, line 1, column 7, lines 63-67, column 8, lines 1-14 and 48-58, column 9, lines 45-55, column 24, lines 50-57, column 27, lines 52-65, column 29, lines 4-17 and column 36, lines 31-50).

[Servers are capable of storing data in an organized manner, thus the servers (as disclosed by Renda) sufficiently disclose the claimed invention as claimed within claims 7 and 14.]

Response to Arguments

10. Claim 1 is rendered non-compliant due to the new claim limitation, "means for limiting operation of the document processing device to a subset of available document processing operations in accordance with the stored permission matrix" not being underlined to indicate that it is a new claim limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

11. Applicant's arguments, see page 6, filed 3/06/08, with respect to the objections to claims 1 and 6 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objections to claims 1 and 6 have been withdrawn.

12. Applicant's arguments filed 3/06/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to the limitation of, "the document processing device including means for outputting a tangible rendering of electronic documents", the Examiner maintains the above-cited grounds of rejection, in particular but not limited to *Gregg* – column 21, lines 11-37, "the user chooses one of the print, save or cut/copy/paste options" and "the program determines whether the copyright level allows print (block 452) which if it does, permits printing (block 454)". The claim language "outputting a tangible rendering" is broadly interpreted by the Examiner to pertain to, inter alia, the printing of the "copyrighted HTML document" as disclosed by Gregg.

13. Regarding the limitations of, "means for storing the permission matrix on a data storage associated with a controller of the document processing device" and "means for limiting operation of the document processing device to a subset of available document processing operations in accordance with the stored permission matrix", the Examiner upholds the grounds of rejection, in particular but not limited to *Renda* – Figures 2B and

Art Unit: 2131

3A (elements 314 and 316 – “privs local?” and if “yes” then “determine action from privileges”), column 10, lines 37-53, “*identifies whether a privileges record for a device having the MAC address is stored locally* in access controller 220” and “If the privileges are located in local privileges storage 275, privileges manager 273 will retrieve the privileges and identify the action associated with the target in the frame” and column 18, lines 3-23.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

15. The following United States Patents and Patent Application Publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to access control, such as:

United States Patent No. 7,155,616 to Hamlin which is cited to show a computer network comprising network authentication facilities implemented in a disk drive.

United States Patent No. 6,487,583 to Harvey et al., which is cited to show a system and method for information and application distribution.

United States Patent No. 5,757,916 to MacDoran et al., which is cited to show a method and apparatus for authenticating the location of remote users of networked computing systems.

United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0046586 to Bheemarasetti, et al., which is cited to show secure remote access to data between peers.

United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0093298 to Hernandez, et al., which is cited to show a system and method for providing secure remote access to patient files by authenticating personnel with biometric data.

United States Patent No. 5,923,756 to Shambroom which is cited to show a method for providing secure remote command execution over an insecure computer network.

United States Patent No. 6,671,818 to Mikurak, which is cited to show problem isolation through translating and filtering events into a standard object format in a network based supply chain.

United States Patent No. 7,062,781 to Shambroom which is cited to show a method for providing simultaneous parallel secure command execution on multiple remote hosts.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEREMIAH AVERY whose telephone number is (571)272-8627. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30am-5pm.

17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2131

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeremiah Avery/
Examiner, Art Unit 2131

/Ayaz R. Sheikh/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2131